arXiv:1601.06380v2 [math.GR] 27 Feb 2016

ON GROUPS WITH THE SAME CHARACTER DEGREES AS ALMOST SIMPLE GROUPS WITH SOCLE SPORADIC SIMPLE GROUPS

SEYED HASSAN ALAVI, ASHRAF DANESHKHAH*, AND ALI JAFARI

Abstract. Let G be a finite group and $\mathsf{cd}(G)$ denote the set of complex irreducible character degrees of G. In this paper, we prove that if G is a finite group and H is an almost simple group whose socle is a sporadic simple group H_0 such that $\mathsf{cd}(G) = \mathsf{cd}(H)$, then $G' \cong H_0$ and there exists an abelian subgroup A of G such that G/A is isomorphic to H. In view of Huppert's conjecture (2000), we also provide some examples to show that G is not necessarily a direct product of A and H, and hence we cannot extend this conjecture to almost simple groups.

1. Introduction

Let G be a finite group, and let $\mathsf{Irr}(G)$ be the set of complex irreducible character degrees of G. Denote the set of character degrees of G by $\mathsf{cd}(G) = \{\chi(1)|\chi \in \mathsf{Irr}(G)\}$, and when the context allows us the set of irreducible character degrees will be referred to as the set of character degrees. There is growing interest in the information regarding the structure of G which can be determined from the character degree set of G. It is well-known that the character degree set of G can not use to completely determine the structure of G. For example, the non-isomorphic groups D_8 and Q_8 not only have the same set of character degrees, but also share the same character table.

The character degree set cannot be used to distinguish between solvable and nilpotent groups. For example, if G is either Q_8 or S_3, then $\mathsf{cd}(G) = \{1, 2\}$. However, in the late 1990s, Huppert [7] posed a conjecture which, if true, would sharpen the connection between the character degree set of a non-abelian simple group and the structure of the group.

Conjecture 1.1 (Huppert)**.** Let G be a finite group, and let H be a finite non-abelian simple group such that the sets of character degrees of G and H are the same. Then $G \cong H \times A$, where A is an abelian group.

The conjecture asserts that the non-abelian simple groups are essentially characterized by the set of their character degrees. In addition to verifying this conjecture for many of the simple groups of Lie type, it is also verified for all sporadic simple groups [1, 3, 12]. Note that this conjecture does not extend to solvable groups, for example, Q_8 and D_8. We moreover cannot extend Huppert's conjecture to *almost simple groups*. In fact, there are four groups G of order 240 whose character degrees are the same as $\mathsf{Aut}(A_5) = S_5$. These groups are $SL_2(5) \,.\, \mathbb{Z}_2$ (non split), $SL_2(5) : \mathbb{Z}_2$

Date: March 1, 2016.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 20C15; Secondary 20D05.

Key words and phrases. Character degrees; Almost simple groups; Sporadic simple groups; Huppert's Conjecture.

∗ Corresponding author: Ashraf Daneshkhah.

(split), $A_5 : \mathbb{Z}_4$ (split) and $S_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$. If we further assume that $G' = A_5$, we still have two possibilities for G, namely, $A_5 : \mathbb{Z}_4$ and $S_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$. Indeed, the groups $A_5 : \mathbb{Z}_{2^n}$, for $n \geq 1$, have the same character degree set as S_5. Although it is unfortunate to establish Huppert's conjecture for almost simple groups, we can prove the following result for finite groups whose character degrees are the same as those of almost simple groups with socle sporadic simple groups:

Theorem 1.1. *Let G be a finite group, and let H be an almost simple group whose socle H_0 is one of the sporadic simple groups. If $\mathsf{cd}(G) = \mathsf{cd}(H)$, then $G' \cong H_0$ and $G/Z(G)$ is isomorphic to H.*

In order to prove Theorem 1.1, we establish the following steps introduced in [7]. Let H be an almost simple group with socle H_0, and let G be a group with the same character degrees as H. Then we show that

(1) If G'/M is a chief factor of G, then G'/M is isomorphic to H_0;
(2) If $\theta \in \mathsf{Irr}(M)$ with $\theta(1)$, then $I_{G'}(\theta) = G'$ and so $M = M'$;
(3) $M = 1$ and $G' \cong H_0$;
(4) $G/Z(G)$ is isomorphic to H.

In Propositions 3.3-3.6, we will verify Steps 1-4, and the proof of Theorem 1.1 follows immediately from these statements.

Remark 1.2. Recall that Theorem 1.1 for the case where $H = H_0$ is a sporadic simple groups has already been settled, see [1, 3, 8, 12]. Moreover, if H is the automorphism group of one of the Mathieu groups, then Theorem 1.1 is also proved by the authors [2]. Therefore, we only need to focus on remaining cases where $H = \mathsf{Aut}(H_0)$ with H_0 one of J_2, HS, J_3, McL, He, Suz, $O'N$, Fi_{22}, HN and Fi'_{24}.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we present some useful results to prove Theorem 1.1. We first establish some definitions and notation.

Throughout this paper all groups are finite. A group H is said to be an almost simple group with socle H_0 if $H_0 \leqslant H \leqslant \mathsf{Aut}(H_0)$, where H_0 is a non-abelian simple group. If $N \trianglelefteq G$ and $\theta \in \mathsf{Irr}(N)$, then the inertia group $I_G(\theta)$ of θ in G is defined by $I_G(\theta) = \{g \in G \mid \theta^g = \theta\}$. If the character $\chi = \sum_{i=1}^{k} e_i\chi_i$, where each χ_i is an irreducible character of G and e_i is a nonnegative integer, then those χ_i with $e_i > 0$ are called the *irreducible constituents* of χ. The set of all irreducible constituents of θ^G is denoted by $\mathsf{Irr}(G|\theta)$. All further notation and definitions are standard and could be found in [6, 9]. For computation parts, we use GAP [11].

Lemma 2.1 ([6, Theorems 19.5 and 21.3]). *Suppose $N \trianglelefteq G$ and $\chi \in \mathsf{Irr}(G)$.*

(a) If $\chi_N = \theta_1 + \theta_2 + \cdots + \theta_k$ with $\theta_i \in \mathsf{Irr}(N)$, then k divides $|G/N|$. In particular, if $\chi(1)$ is prime to $|G/N|$, then $\chi_N \in \mathsf{Irr}(N)$.
(b) (Gallagher's Theorem) If $\chi_N \in \mathsf{Irr}(N)$, then $\chi\psi \in \mathsf{Irr}(G)$ for all $\psi \in \mathsf{Irr}(G/N)$.

Lemma 2.2 ([6, Theorems 19.6 and 21.2]). *Suppose $N \trianglelefteq G$ and $\theta \in \mathsf{Irr}(N)$. Let $I = I_G(\theta)$.*

(a) If $\theta^I = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \phi_i$ with $\phi_i \in \mathsf{Irr}(I)$, then $\phi_i^G \in \mathsf{Irr}(G)$. In particular, $\phi_i(1)|G : I| \in \mathsf{cd}(G)$.
(b) If θ extends to $\psi \in \mathsf{Irr}(I)$, then $(\psi\tau)^G \in \mathsf{Irr}(G)$ for all $\tau \in \mathsf{Irr}(I/N)$. In particular, $\theta(1)\tau(1)|G : I| \in \mathsf{cd}(G)$.

(c) *If* $\rho \in \mathsf{Irr}(I)$ *such that* $\rho_N = e\theta$, *then* $\rho = \theta_0\tau_0$, *where* θ_0 *is a character of an irreducible projective representation of* I *of degree* $\theta(1)$ *and* τ_0 *is a character of an irreducible projective representation of* I/N *of degree* e.

A character $\chi \in \mathsf{Irr}(G)$ is said to be *isolated* in G if $\chi(1)$ is divisible by no proper nontrivial character degree of G and no proper multiple of $\chi(1)$ is a character degree of G. In this situation, we also say that $\chi(1)$ is an *isolated degree* of G. We define a *proper power* degree of G to be a character degree of G of the form f^a for integers f with $a > 1$.

Lemma 2.3 ([12, Lemma 3]). *Let* G/N *be a solvable factor group of* G *minimal with respect to being non-abelian. Then two cases can occur.*

(a) G/N *is an* r*-group for some prime* r. *In this case,* G *has a proper prime power degree.*
(b) G/N *is a Frobenius group with an elementary abelian Frobenius kernel* F/N. *Then* $f := |G : F| \in \mathsf{cd}(G)$ *and* $|F/N| = r^a$ *for some prime* r *and* a *is the smallest integer such that* $r^a \equiv 1 \mod f$.
   (1) *If* $\chi \in \mathsf{Irr}(G)$ *such that no proper multiple of* $\chi(1)$ *is in* $\mathsf{cd}(G)$, *then either* f *divides* $\chi(1)$, *or* r^a *divides* $\chi(1)^2$.
   (2) *If* $\chi \in \mathsf{Irr}(G)$ *is isolated, then* $f = \chi(1)$ *or* $r^a \mid \chi(1)^2$.

Lemma 2.4 ([4, Theorems 2-4]). *If* S *is a non-abelian simple group, then there exists a nontrivial irreducible character* θ *of* S *that extends to* $\mathsf{Aut}(S)$. *Moreover, the following holds:*

(a) *if* S *is an alternating group of degree at least* 7, *then* S *has two characters of consecutive degrees* $n(n-3)/2$ *and* $(n-1)(n-2)/2$ *that both extend to* $\mathsf{Aut}(S)$.
(b) *if* S *is a simple group of Lie type, then the Steinberg character of* S *of degree* $|S|_p$ *extends to* $\mathsf{Aut}(S)$.
(c) *if* S *is a sporadic simple group or the Tits group, then* S *has two nontrivial irreducible characters of coprime degrees which both extend to* $\mathsf{Aut}(S)$.

Lemma 2.5 ([4, Lemma 5]). *Let* N *be a minimal normal subgroup of* G *so that* $N \cong S^k$, *where* S *is a non-abelian simple group. If* $\theta \in \mathsf{Irr}(S)$ *extends to* $\mathsf{Aut}(S)$, *then* $\theta^k \in \mathsf{Irr}(N)$ *extends to* G.

Lemma 2.6 ([7, Lemma 6]). *Suppose that* $M \trianglelefteq G' = G''$ *and for every* $\lambda \in \mathsf{Irr}(M)$ *with* $\lambda(1) = 1$, $\lambda^g = \lambda$ *for all* $g \in G'$. *Then* $M' = [M, G']$ *and* $|M/M'|$ *divides the order of the Schur multiplier of* G'/M.

Lemma 2.7 ([10, Theorem D]). *Let* N *be a normal subgroup of a finite group* G *and let* $\varphi \in \mathsf{Irr}(N)$ *be* G*-invariant. Assume that* $\chi(1)/\varphi(1)$ *is odd, for all* $\chi(1) \in \mathsf{Irr}(G|\varphi)$. *Then* G/N *is solvable.*

3. Proof of the main result

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.1 for almost simple group H whose socle is a sporadic simple group H_0 as in Remark 1.2. For convenience, we first mention some properties of H and H_0 which can be drawn from ATLAS [5].

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose that* H_0 *is one of the sporadic simple groups as in the first column of* Table 1, *and suppose that* $H = \mathsf{Aut}(H_0)$. *Then*

TABLE 1. Some properties of some sporadic simple groups H_0 and their automorphism groups.

H_0	$\mathsf{Aut}(H_0)$	$M(H_0)$	K	$t(K)$
J_2	$J_2:2$	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	$U_3(3)$	3
HS	$HS:2$	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	M_{22}	2^5
			$U_3(5):2$	6 or 8
J_3	$J_3:2$	$\mathbb{Z}_3$	-	-
McL	$McL:2$	$\mathbb{Z}_3$	$U_4(3)$	$5\cdot 7$ or $2^2\cdot 3\cdot 5$
He	$He:2$	1	$S_4(4):2$	1
Suz	$Suz:2$	$\mathbb{Z}_6$	$G_2(4)$	$3^2\cdot 13$ or $3\cdot 5\cdot 7$
			$U_5(2)$	5
$O'N$	$O'N:2$	$\mathbb{Z}_3$	-	-
Fi_{22}	$Fi_{22}:2$	$\mathbb{Z}_6$	$2\cdot U_6(2)$	$2^2\cdot 3\cdot 5\cdot 11$, $2^4\cdot 5\cdot 7$, $3\cdot 5\cdot 11$ or 3^5
			$O_8^+(2):S_3$	6
			$2^{10}:M_{22}$	6
HN	$HN:2$	1	-	-
Fi'_{24}	$Fi'_{24}:2$	$\mathbb{Z}_3$	$2.Fi_{23}$	$2^4\cdot 5^2\cdot 7\cdot 17\cdot 23$, $2\cdot 3^3\cdot 7\cdot 11\cdot 13\cdot 17$, $2^2\cdot 3\cdot 11\cdot 13\cdot 17\cdot 23$, $2^3\cdot 3\cdot 7\cdot 11\cdot 13\cdot 23$, $2^4\cdot 3\cdot 13\cdot 17\cdot 23$, $2^2\cdot 7\cdot 11\cdot 17\cdot 23$, $11\cdot 13\cdot 17\cdot 23$

The symbol '-' means that there is no subgroup K satisfying the conditions in Lemma 3.1(c).

(a) the outer automorphism group $\mathsf{Out}(H_0)$ *of* H_0 *is isomorphic to* $\mathbb{Z}_2$*, and the Schur multiplier* $\mathsf{M}(H_0)$ *of* H_0 *is listed in* Table 1*;*
(b) H *has neither consecutive, nor proper power degrees;*
(c) if K *is a maximal subgroup of* H_0 *whose index in* H_0 *divides some degrees* $\chi(1)$ *of* H*, then* K *is given in* Table 1*, and for each* K*,* $\chi(1)/|H_0:K|$ *divides* $t(K)$ *as in* Table 1*.*

Proof. Parts (a) and (b) follows from ATLAS [5], and part (c) is a straightforward calculation. □

Proposition 3.2. *Let* S *be a sporadic simple group or the Tits group* ${}^2F_4(2)'$ *whose character degrees divide some degrees of an almost simple group with socle a sporadic simple group* H_0*. Then either* S *is isomorphic to* H_0*, or* (H,S) *is as in* Table 2*.*

Proof. The proof follows from [5], see also [2, Proposition 3.1]. □

Proposition 3.3. *Let* G *be a finite group, and let* H *be an almost simple group whose socle is a sporadic simple group* H_0*. If* $\mathsf{cd}(G)=\mathsf{cd}(H)$*, then the chief factor* G'/M *of* G *is isomorphic to* H_0*.*

Proof. We first apply Remark 1.2, and so we may assume that $H=\mathsf{Aut}(H_0)$, where H_0 is one of the sporadic groups J_2, J_3, McL, HS, He, HN, Fi_{22}, Fi'_{24}, $O'N$ and Suz.

We now prove that $G'=G''$. Assume the contrary. Then there is a normal subgroup N of G, where N is a maximal such that G/N is a non-abelian solvable

TABLE 2. Sporadic simple groups S and the Tits group whose irreducible character degrees divide some character degrees of almost simple groups H with socle sporadic simple groups.

H	S
M_{12}, $M_{12}:2$	M_{11}, M_{12}
M_{23}	M_{11}, M_{23}
M_{24}	M_{11}, M_{24}
J_4	M_{11}, M_{12}, M_{22}, J_4
HS, $HS:2$	M_{11}, M_{22}, HS
McL, $McL:2$	M_{11}, McL
Suz, $Suz:2$	M_{11}, M_{12}, M_{22}, J_2, Suz, ${}^2F_4(2)'$
Co_3	M_{11}, M_{12}, M_{22}, M_{23}, M_{24}, Co_3
Co_2	M_{11}, M_{12}, M_{22}, M_{23}, M_{24} J_2, Co_2
Co_1	M_{11}, M_{12}, M_{22}, M_{23}, M_{24}, McL, J_2, HS, Co_1, Co_3, ${}^2F_4(2)'$
Fi_{22},$Fi_{22}:2$	M_{11}, M_{12}, M_{22}, J_2, Fi_{22}
Fi_{23}	M_{11}, M_{12}, M_{22}, M_{23}, M_{24}, HS, J_2, Fi_{23}, ${}^2F_4(2)'$
Fi'_{24}, $Fi'_{24}:2$	M_{11}, M_{12}, M_{22}, M_{23}, M_{24}, He, J_2, Fi'_{24}, ${}^2F_4(2)'$
Th	J_2, Th, ${}^2F_4(2)'$
Ru	J_2, Ru, ${}^2F_4(2)'$
Ly	M_{11}, M_{12}, J_2, Ly
HN, $HN:2$	M_{11}, M_{12}, M_{22}, J_1, J_2, HS, HN
$O'N$, $O'N:2$	M_{11}, M_{12}, M_{22}, $O'N$
B	M_{11}, M_{12}, M_{22}, M_{23}, M_{24}, J_1, J_2 , J_3, HS, McL, Suz, Fi_{22}, Co_3, Co_2, Th, B, ${}^2F_4(2)'$
M	M_{11}, M_{12}, M_{22}, M_{23}, M_{24}, J_1, J_2, J_3, HS, McL, Suz, Fi_{22}, Co_3, Co_2, He, $O'N$, Ru, M, ${}^2F_4(2)'$

TABLE 3. Some isolated degrees of some automorphism groups of sporadic simple groups.

H	$\chi_1(1)$	$\chi_2(1)$	$\chi_3(1)$
$HS:2$	$825 = 3\cdot 5^2\cdot 11$	$1792 = 2^8\cdot 7$	$2520 = 2^3\cdot 3^2\cdot 5\cdot 7$
$J_3:2$	$170 = 2\cdot 5\cdot 17$	$324 = 2^2\cdot 3^4$	$1215 = 3^5\cdot 5$
$McL:2$	$1750 = 2\cdot 5^3\cdot 7$	$4500 = 2^2\cdot 3^2\cdot 5^3$	$5103 = 3^6\cdot 7$
$He:2$	$1920 = 2^7\cdot 3\cdot 5$	$2058 = 2\cdot 3\cdot 7^3$	$20825 = 5^2\cdot 7^2\cdot 17$
$O'N:2$	$10944 = 2^6\cdot 3^2\cdot 19$	$26752 = 2^7\cdot 11\cdot 19$	$116963 = 7^3\cdot 11\cdot 31$
$Fi_{22}:2$	$360855 = 3^8\cdot 5\cdot 11$	$577368 = 2^3\cdot 3^8\cdot 11$	$1164800 = 2^9\cdot 5^2\cdot 7\cdot 13$
$HN:2$	$1575936 = 2^{10}\cdot 3^4\cdot 19$	$2784375 = 3^4\cdot 5^5\cdot 11$	$3200000 = 2^{10}\cdot 5^5$
$Fi'_{24}:2$	$159402880 = 2^7\cdot 5\cdot 7^2\cdot 13\cdot 17\cdot 23$	$5775278080 = 2^{14}\cdot 5\cdot 11\cdot 13\cdot 17\cdot 29$	$156321775827 = 3^{14}\cdot 7^2\cdot 23\cdot 29$

group. Now we apply Lemma 2.3, and since G has no prime power degree, G/N is a Frobenius group with kernel F/N of order r^a. In this case, $1 < f = |G:F| \in \mathsf{cd}(G)$.

TABLE 4. Some degrees of some sporadic simple groups S and the Tits group.

S	M_{11}	M_{12}	M_{22}	M_{23}	M_{24}	J_1	J_2	HS	He	${}^2F_4(2)'$
Degree	10	54	21	22	23	76	36	22	1275	27

Suppose that H_0 is not J_2 and Suz. Then G has three isolated coprime degrees as in Table 3. Now Lemma 2.3(b.2) implies that f must be equal to these degrees, which is impossible.

Suppose $H_0 = Suz$. Let $r = 2$. Note that $r^a - 1 = 2^a - 1$ is less than the smallest nontrivial degree 143 of G, for $1 \leqslant a \leqslant 4$. Then by Lemma 2.3(b.2), f must divide both degrees $75075 = 3\cdot5^2\cdot7\cdot11\cdot13$ and $5940 = 2^2\cdot3^3\cdot5\cdot11$, and so f divides $3\cdot5\cdot11$, but none of divisors of $3 \cdot 5 \cdot 11$ is a degree of G. Therefore, $r \neq 2$. Now we apply Lemma 2.3(b.2) to isolated degrees $66560 = 2^{10} \cdot 5 \cdot 13$ and $133056 = 2^6 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 7 \cdot 11$, and since $r \neq 2$, it follows that f must be equal to both of these degrees, which is impossible.

Suppose finally $H_0 = J_2$. Here we make the same argument as in the case of Suz. If $r = 5$, then by Lemma 2.3(b.2), f must divide both $2^5 \cdot 3^2$ and $2 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 7$, and so f divides $2 \cdot 3^2$, but G has no degree as a divisor of $2 \cdot 3^2$. Thus $r \neq 5$. Now we apply Lemma 2.3(b.2) to isolated degrees $2^5 \cdot 5$ and $5^2 \cdot 7$, and so f must be equal to both of these degrees, which is impossible.

In conclusion, $G' = G''$. Let now G'/M be a chief factor of G. As G' is perfect, G'/M is non-abelian, and so G'/M is isomorphic to S^k for some non-abelian simple group S and some integer $k \geq 1$.

We first show that $k = 1$. Assume the contrary. Then by Lemma 2.4, S possesses a nontrivial irreducible character θ extendible to $\mathsf{Aut}(S)$, and so Lemma 2.5 implies that $\theta^k \in \mathsf{Irr}(G'/M)$ extends to G/M, that is to say, G has a proper power degree contradicting Lemma 3.1(b). Therefore, $k = 1$, and hence $G'/M \cong S$.

If S is an alternating group of degree $n \geq 7$. By Lemma 2.4(a), S has nontrivial irreducible characters θ_1 and θ_2 with $\theta_1(1) = n(n-3)/2$ and $\theta_2(1) = \theta_1(1) + 1 = (n-1)(n-2)/2$, respectively, and both θ_i extend to $\mathsf{Aut}(S)$. Thus G possesses two consecutive nontrivial character degrees, contradicting Lemma 3.1(b).

If $S \neq {}^2F_4(2)'$ is a simple group of Lie type in characteristic p, then the Steinberg character of S of degree $|S|_p$ extends to $\mathsf{Aut}(S)$ so that G possesses a nontrivial prime power degree contradicting Lemma 3.1(b).

If S is a sporadic simple group or the Tits group ${}^2F_4(2)'$, then irreducible character degrees of S divide some degrees of H, and so by Proposition 3.2, $S \cong H_0$ or (H, S) is as in Table 2. In the later case, for a given H as in the first row of Table 2, assume that S is not isomorphic to H_0. Then we apply Lemma 2.4(c), and so, for each S as in the first row of Table 4, G possesses an irreducible character of degree listed in the second row of Table 4. This leads us to a contradiction. Therefore, $S \cong H_0$, and hence G'/M is isomorphic to H_0. □

Proposition 3.4. *Let G be a finite group with $\mathsf{cd}(G) = \mathsf{cd}(H)$ where H is an almost simple group whose socle is a sporadic simple group H_0. Let also the chief factor G'/M be isomorphic to H_0. If $\theta \in \mathsf{Irr}(M)$ with $\theta(1) = 1$, then $I_{G'}(\theta) = G'$.*

Proof. By Remark 1.2, we may assume that $H = \mathsf{Aut}(H_0)$, where H_0 is one of the sporadic groups J_2, J_3, McL, HS, He, HN, Fi_{22}, Fi'_{24}, $O'N$ and Suz.

Suppose $I = I_{G'}(\theta) < G'$. Let $\theta^I = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \phi_i$, where $\phi_i \in \mathsf{Irr}(I)$ for $i = 1, 2, ..., k$. Assume that U/M is a maximal subgroup of $G'/M \cong H_0$ containing I/M and set $t := |U : I|$. It follows from Lemma 2.2(a) that $\phi_i(1)|G' : I| \in \mathsf{cd}(G')$, and so $t\phi_i(1)|G' : U|$ divides some degrees of G. Then $|G' : U|$ must divide some character degrees of G, and hence for each H_0 as in the first column of Table 1, by Lemma 3.1(c), U/M can be the subgroup K listed in the fifth column of Table 1 and $t\phi_i(1)|G' : U|$ must divide the positive integers $t(K)$ mentioned in the sixth column of Table 1.

If H_0 is J_3, $O'N$ or HN, then by Lemma 3.1(b), there is no such subgroup U/M, and so $I_{G'}(\theta) = G'$ in these cases. We now discuss each remaining case separately.

(1) $H_0 = J_2$. Then by Lemma 3.1(b), $U/M \cong U_3(3)$ and $t\phi_i(1)$ divides 3, for all i. Since $U_3(3)$ has no any subgroup of index 3 [5, p. 14], it follows that $t = 1$, that is to say, $I/M = U/M \cong U_3(3)$. Since also $U_3(3)$ has trivial Schur multiplier, it follows from [9, Theorem 11.7] that θ extends to $\theta_0 \in \mathsf{Irr}(I)$, and so by Lemma 2.2(b), $(\theta_0\tau)^{G'} \in \mathsf{Irr}(G')$, for all $\tau \in \mathsf{Irr}(I/M)$. For $\tau(1) = 27 \in \mathsf{cd}(U_3(3))$, it turns out that $3 \cdot 27 \cdot \theta_0(1)$ divide some degrees of G, which is a contradiction. Therefore, θ is G'-invariant.

(2) $H_0 = HS$. Then by Lemma 3.1(b), one of the following holds:

(i) $U/M \cong M_{22}$ and $t\phi_i(1)$ divides 2^5, for all i.

As $U/M \cong M_{22}$ does not have any subgroup of index 2^m for $m = 1, ..., 5$, by [5, pp. 80-81], $t = 1$ and $I/M = U/M \cong M_{22}$ and $\phi_i(1)$ divides 2^5. Assume first that $e_j = 1$ for some j. Then θ extends to $\varphi_j \in \mathsf{Irr}(I)$. By Lemma 2.1(b), $\tau\varphi_j$ is an irreducible constituent of θ^I for every $\tau \in \mathsf{Irr}(I/M)$, and so $\tau(1)\varphi_j(1) = \tau(1)$ divides 2^5. Now we choose $\tau \in \mathsf{Irr}(I/M) = \mathsf{Irr}(M_{22})$ with $\tau(1) = 21$ and this degree does not divide 2^5, which is a contradiction. Therefore $e_i > 1$ for all i. We deduce that, for each i, e_i is the degree of a nontrivial proper irreducible projective representation of M_{22}. As $\phi_i(1) = e_i\theta(1) = e_i$, each e_i divides 2^5. It follows that $e_i \leqslant 2^5$ for each i and e_i is the degree of a nontrivial proper irreducible projective representation of M_{22}, but according to [5, pp. 39-41], there is no such a projective degree.

(ii) $U/M \cong U_3(5) : 2$ and $t\phi_i(1)$ divides 6 or 8, for all i.

Let $M \leqslant W \leqslant U$ such that $W/M \cong U_3(5)$. Then $W \trianglelefteq U$. Assume that $W \nleqslant I$. Since $t = |U : I| = |U : WI| \cdot |WI : I|$ and $|WI : I| = |W : WI|$, the index of some maximal subgroup of $W/M \cong U_3(5)$ divides t and so divides 6 or 8, which is a contradiction by [5, pp. 34-35]. Thus $W \leqslant I \leqslant U$. Let $M \leqslant V \leqslant W$ such that $V/M \cong M_{10}$. We have that θ is V-invariant and, since the Schur multiplier of V/M is trivial, θ extends to $\theta_0 \in \mathsf{Irr}(V)$. By Lemma 2.1(b), $\tau\theta_0$ is an irreducible constituent of θ^V for every $\tau \in \mathsf{Irr}(V/M)$. Choose $\tau \in \mathsf{Irr}(V/M)$ with $\tau(1) = 16$ and let $\gamma = \tau\theta_0 \in Irr(V|\theta)$. If $\chi \in \mathsf{Irr}(I)$ is an irreducible constituent of γ^I, then $\chi(1) \geqslant \gamma(1)$ by Frobenius reciprocity [9, Lemma 5.2] and also $\chi(1)$ divides 6 or 8, which implies that $16 = \gamma(1) \leqslant \chi(1) \leqslant 8$, which is contradiction.

(3) $H_0 = McL$. Then by Lemma 3.1(b), $U/M \cong U_4(3)$ and $t\phi_i(1)$ divides 35 or 60, for all i. By inspecting the list of maximal subgroups of $U/M \cong U_4(3)$ in [5, pp. 52-59], no index of maximal subgroup of $U_4(3)$ divides 35 or 60, and so $t = 1$. Thus $I/M = U/M \cong U_4(3)$ and $\phi_i(1)$ divides 35 or 60, for all i. Assume first $e_j = 1$, for some j. Then θ extends to $\varphi_j \in \mathsf{Irr}(I)$. It follows from Lemma 2.1(b) that $\tau\varphi_j$ is an irreducible constituent of θ^I for every $\tau \in \mathsf{Irr}(I/M)$, and so $\tau(1)\varphi_j(1) = \tau(1)$ divides 35 or 60. Now let $\tau \in \mathsf{Irr}(I/M) = \mathsf{Irr}(U_4(3))$ with $\tau(1) = 21$ and this degree

does not divide neither 35, nor 60, which is a contradiction. Therefore $e_i > 1$, for all i. Therefore, for each i, e_i is the degree of a nontrivial proper irreducible projective representation of $U_4(3)$. As $\phi_i(1) = e_i\theta(1) = e_i$, each e_i divides 35 or 60, it follows from [5, pp. 53-59] that $e_i \in \{6, 15, 20, 35\}$. Let now $M \leqslant V \leqslant U$ such that $V/M \cong U_3(3)$. We have that θ is V-invariant, and since the Schur multiplier of V/M is trivial, θ extends to $\theta_0 \in \mathsf{Irr}(V)$. It follows from Lemma 2.1(b) that $\tau\theta_0$ is an irreducible constituent of θ^V for every $\tau \in \mathsf{Irr}(V/M)$. Take $\tau \in \mathsf{Irr}(V/M)$ with $\tau(1) = 32$, and let $\gamma = \tau\theta_0 \in Irr(V|\theta)$. If $\chi \in \mathsf{Irr}(I)$ is an irreducible constituent of γ^I, then $\chi(1) \geq \gamma(1) = 32$ by Frobenius reciprocity [9, Lemma 5.2]. This shows that $e_i = 35$, for all i, that is to say, $\varphi_i(1)/\theta(1)$ divides 35, for all i, and so Lemma 2.7 implies that $I/M \cong U_4(3)$ is solvable, which is a contradiction.

(4) $H_0 = He$. Then by Lemma 3.1(b), $U/M \cong S_4(4) : 2$ and $t = 1$, or equivalently, $I/M = U/M \cong S_4(4) : 2$. Moreover, $\phi_i(1) = 1$, for all i. Then θ extends to $\phi_i \in \mathsf{Irr}(I)$, and so by Lemma 2.2(b), $2058\tau(1)$ divides some degrees of G, for $\tau(1) = 510$, which is a contradiction. Therefore $I_{G'}(\theta) = G'$.

(5) $H_0 = Suz$. Then by Lemma 3.1(b), one of the following holds:

(i) $U/M \cong G_2(4)$ and $t\phi_i(1)$ divides $3^2 \cdot 13$ or $3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$, for all i.

By inspecting the list of maximal subgroups of $G_2(4)$ in [5, pp. 97-99], no index of a maximal subgroup of $G_2(4)$ divides $3^2 \cdot 13$ or $3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$, then $t = 1$, and so $I/M = U/M \cong G_2(4)$. Note that $\phi_i(1)/\theta(1)$ divides $3^2 \cdot 13$ or $3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$, for all i. If $\phi_i(1)/\theta(1) > 1$, for all i, then we apply Lemma 2.7, and so we conclude that I/M is solvable, which is a contradiction. Therefore, $\varphi_i(1) = \theta(1) = 1$ in which case θ extends to φ_i, for some i. It follows from Lemma 2.1(b) that $\tau\varphi_i$ is an irreducible constituent of θ^I for every $\tau \in \mathsf{Irr}(I/M)$, and then $\tau(1)\varphi_i(1) = \tau(1)$ divides $3^2 \cdot 13$ or $3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$. We can choose $\tau \in \mathsf{Irr}(I/M) = \mathsf{Irr}(G_2(4))$ with $\tau(1) = 65$ and this degree does not divide $3^2 \cdot 13$ or $3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$, which is a contradiction.

(ii) $U/M \cong U_5(2)$ and $t\phi_i(1)$ divides 5, for all i.

As $U/M \cong U_5(2)$ does not have any subgroup of index 5, by [5, pp. 72-73],$t = 1$ and so $I/M = U/M \cong U_5(2)$. Thus $\phi_i(1)/\theta(1)$ divides 5, for all i. Since $U_5(2)$ has trivial Schur multiplier, it follows that θ extends to $\theta_0 \in \mathsf{Irr}(I)$, and so by Lemma 2.2(b) $(\theta_0\tau)^{G'} \in \mathsf{Irr}(G')$, for all $\tau \in \mathsf{Irr}(I/M)$. For $\tau(1) = 300 \in \mathsf{cd}(U_5(2))$, it turns out that $5 \cdot 300 \cdot \theta_0(1) = 2^2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5^3$ divides some degrees of G, which is a contradiction.

(6) $H_0 = Fi_{22}$. Then by Lemma 3.1(b), one of the following holds:

(i) $U/M \cong 2 \cdot U_6(2)$ and $t\varphi_i(1)$ divides one of $3 \cdot 5 \cdot 11$, $2^2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 11$, $2^4 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$ or 3^5, for all i.

As U/M is perfect, the center of U/M lies in every maximal subgroup of U/M and so the indices of maximal subgroups of U/M and those of $U_6(2)$ are the same. By inspecting the list of maximal subgroups of $U_6(2)$ in [5, pp. 115-121], the index of a maximal subgroup of $U_6(2)$ no divides $3 \cdot 5 \cdot 11$, $2^2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 11$, $2^4 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$ or 3^5. Thus $t = 1$ and hence $I = U$. Let $M \leqslant L \leqslant I$ such that L/M is isomorphic to the center of I/M and let $\lambda \in \mathsf{Irr}(L|\theta)$. As $L \trianglelefteq I$, for any $\varphi \in \mathsf{Irr}(I|\lambda)$ we have that $\varphi(1)$ divides $3 \cdot 5 \cdot 11$, $2^2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 11$, $2^4 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$ or 3^5. As above, we deduce that λ is I-invariant. Let $L \leqslant T \leqslant I$ such that $T/L \cong U_5(2)$. It follows that λ is T-invariant and since the Schur multiplier of $T/L \cong U_5(2)$ is trivial, we have that λ extends to $\lambda_0 \in \mathsf{Irr}(T)$. By 2.1(b), $\tau\lambda_0$ is an irreducible constituent of λ^T for every $\tau \in \mathsf{Irr}(T/L)$. Choose $\tau \in \mathsf{Irr}(T/L)$ with $\tau(1) = 2^{10}$ and let $\gamma = \tau\lambda_0 \in \mathsf{Irr}(T|\lambda)$. If $\chi \in \mathsf{Irr}(I)$ is any

irreducible constituent of γ^I, then $\chi(1) \geq \gamma(1)$ by Frobenius reciprocity [9, Lemma 5.2] and $\chi(1)$ divides $3 \cdot 5 \cdot 11$, $2^2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 11$, $2^4 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$ or 3^5, which implies that $\gamma(1)2^{10}\lambda(1) \leqslant \chi(1) \leqslant 660$, which is impossible.

(ii) $U/M \cong O_8^+(2) : S_3$ and $t\varphi_i(1)$ divides 6, for all i.

Let $M \trianglelefteq W \trianglelefteq U$ such that $W/M \cong O_8^+(2)$. We have that $M \trianglelefteq IW \trianglelefteq I$ and $M \trianglelefteq IW \leqslant W$. Assume $W \not\leq I$. Then $I \lneq WI \leqslant U$ and $t = |U : I| = |U : WI| \cdot |WI : I|$. Now $|WI : I| = |W : WI| > 1$, and hence t is divisible by $|W : W \cap I|$. As $W/M \cong O_8^+(2)$, t is divisible by the index of some maximal subgroup of $O_8^+(2)$. Thus some index of a maximal subgroup of $O_8^+(2)$ divides 6, which is impossible by [5, pp. 85-88]. Thus $W \leqslant I \leqslant U$. Write $\theta^W = \sum_{i=1}^{l} f_i\mu_i$ where $\mu_i \in \mathsf{Irr}(W|\theta)$ for $i = 1, 2, ..., l$. As $W \trianglelefteq I$, $\mu_i(1)$ divides 6 for every i. If $f_j = 1$ for some j, then θ extends to $\theta_0 \in \mathsf{Irr}(W)$. By 2.1(b), $\tau\theta_0$ is an irreducible constituent of θ^W for every $\tau \in \mathsf{Irr}(W/M)$, and so $\tau(1)\theta_0(1) = \tau(1)$ divides 6. However we can choose $\tau \in \mathsf{Irr}(W/M)$ with $\tau(1) = 28$ and this degree does not divide 6. Therefore $f_i > 1$, for all i. We deduce that, for each i, f_i is the degree of a nontrivial proper irreducible projective representation of $O_8^+(2)$. As $\mu_i(1) = f_i\theta(1) = f_i$, each f_i divides 6. This is impossible as the smallest nontrivial proper projective degree of $O_8^+(2)$ is 8.

(iii) $U/M \cong 2^{10} : M_{22}$ and $t\varphi_i(1)$ divides 6, for all i.

Let $M \trianglelefteq L \trianglelefteq U$ such that $L/M \cong 2^{10}$. We have that $L \trianglelefteq U$ and $U/L \cong M_{22}$. The same argument as in part (ii) shows that $U = IL$ since the minimal index of a maximal subgroup of M_{22} is 22 by [5, pp. 39-41]. Hence $U/L \cong I/L_1 \cong M_{22}$, where $L_1 = L \cap I \trianglelefteq I$. Let $\lambda \in \mathsf{Irr}(L_1|\theta)$. Then for any $\varphi \in \mathsf{Irr}(I|\lambda)$, we have that $\varphi(1)$ divides 6. We conclude that λ is I-invariant as the index of a maximal subgroup of $I/L_1 \cong M_{22}$ is at least 22. Write $\lambda^I = \sum_{i=1}^{l} f_i\mu_i$, where $\mu_i \in \mathsf{Irr}(I|\lambda)$ for $i = 1, 2, ..., l$. Then $\mu_i(1)$ divides 6, for each i. If $f_j = 1$ for some j, then λ extends to $\lambda_0 \in \mathsf{Irr}(I)$. By 2.1(b), $\tau\lambda_0$ is an irreducible constituent of λ^I for every $\tau \in \mathsf{Irr}(I/L_1)$, and so $\tau(1)\lambda_0(1) = \tau(1)$ divides 6. However we can choose $\tau \in \mathsf{Irr}(I/L_1)$ with $\tau(1) = 21$ and this degree does not divide 6. Therefore $f_i > 1$, for all i. We deduce that, for each i, f_i is the degree of a nontrivial proper irreducible projective representation of M_{22}. As $\mu_i(1) = f_i\lambda(1) = f_i$, each f_i divides 6. However this is impossible as the smallest nontrivial proper projective degree of M_{22} is 10.

(7) $H_0 = Fi'_{24}$. Then by Lemma 3.1(b), $U/M \cong 2.Fi_{23}$, and, for each i, $t\varphi_i(1)$ divides one of the numbers in $\mathcal{A}$

$$\mathcal{A} := \{2^4 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7 \cdot 17 \cdot 23, 2 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 17, 2^2 \cdot 3 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 17 \cdot 23,$$
$$2^3 \cdot 3 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 23, 2^4 \cdot 3 \cdot 13 \cdot 17 \cdot 23, 2^2 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 17 \cdot 23, 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 17 \cdot 23\}.$$

By inspecting the list of maximal subgroups of Fi_{23} in [5, pp. 177-180], the index of a maximal subgroup of U/M divides no number in $\mathcal{A}$, then $t = 1$, and so $I = U$. As the Schur multiplier of $I/M \cong Fi_{23}$ is trivial and θ is I-invariant, we deduce from [9, Theorem 11.7], that θ extends to $\theta_0 \in \mathsf{Irr}(I)$. By 2.1(b), $\tau\theta_0$ is an irreducible constituent of θ^I for every $\tau \in \mathsf{Irr}(I/M)$, and so $\tau(1)\theta_0(1) = \tau(1)$ divides one of the numbers in $\mathcal{A}$. Choose $\tau \in \mathsf{Irr}(I/M) = \mathsf{Irr}(Fi_{23})$ with $\tau(1) = 559458900$. This degree divides none of the numbers in $\mathcal{A}$, which is a contradiction. □

Proposition 3.5. *Let G be a finite group with $\mathsf{cd}(G) = \mathsf{cd}(H)$ where H is an almost simple group whose socle is a sporadic simple group H_0. If G'/M is the chief factor of G, then $M = 1$, and hence $G' \cong H_0$.*

TABLE 5. Degrees of some groups related to sporadic simple groups H_0 in Proposition 3.5.

H_0	$\mathsf{Aut}(H_0)$	G'/M'	Degree of $\mathsf{Aut}(H_0)$	Degree of G'/M'	Largest degree of H_0
J_2	$J_2:2$	$2.J_2$	28	64	336
HS	$HS:2$	$2.HS$	308	616	3200
J_3	$J_3:2$	$3.J_3$	170	1530	3078
McL	$McL:2$	$3.McL$	1540	1980	10395
He	$He:2$	-	102	-	23324
Suz	$Suz:2$	$2.Suz$, $3.Suz$, $6.Suz$	10010	60060	248832
$O'N$	$O'N:2$	$3.O'N$	51832	63612	234080
Fi_{22}	$Fi_{22}:2$	$2.Fi_{22}$, $3.Fi_{22}$, $6.Fi_{22}$	277200	235872	2729376
HN	$HN:2$	-	266	-	5878125
Fi'_{24}	$Fi'_{24}:2$	$3.Fi'_{24}$	149674800	216154575	336033532800

The symbol '-' means that there is only one possibility for G'/M' which is H_0.

Proof. Here we deal with the groups mentioned in Remark 1.2, namely, $H = \mathsf{Aut}(H_0)$, where H_0 is one of the sporadic groups J_2, J_3, McL, HS, He, HN, Fi_{22}, Fi'_{24}, $O'N$ and Suz. It follows from Proposition 3.3 that G'/M is isomorphic to H_0, and by Proposition 3.4, every linear character θ of M is G'-invariant. We no apply Lemma 2.6, and conclude that $|M/M'|$ divides the order of Schur Multiplier $\mathsf{M}(H_0)$, see Table 1. Therefore, G'/M' is isomorphic to either H_0, or one of the groups in the third column of Table 5. In the latter case, we observe by ATLAS [5] that G'/M' has a degree as in the fifth column of Table 5 which must divide some degrees of H_0, which is a contradiction. Therefore, $|M/M'| = 1$, or equivalently, M is perfect.

Suppose that M is non-abelian, and let $N \leqslant M$ be a normal subgroup of G' such that M/N is a chief factor of G'. Then $M/N \cong S^k$, for some non-abelian simple group S. It follows from Lemma 2.4 that S possesses a nontrivial irreducible character φ such that $\varphi^k \in \mathsf{Irr}(M/N)$ extends to G'/N. By Lemma 2.1(b), we must have $\varphi(1)^k\tau(1) \in \mathsf{cd}(G'/N) \subseteq \mathsf{cd}(G')$, for all $\tau \in \mathsf{Irr}(G'/M)$. Now we can choose $\tau \in G'/M$ such that $\tau(1)$ is the largest degree of H_0 as in the last column of Table 5, and since φ is nontrivial, $\varphi(1)^k\tau(1)$ divides no degrees of G, which is a contradiction. Therefore, M is abelian, and since $M = M'$, we conclude that $M = 1$. Consequently, G' is isomorphic to H_0. □

Proposition 3.6. *Let G be a finite group with $\mathsf{cd}(G) = \mathsf{cd}(H)$ where H is an almost simple group whose socle is a sporadic simple group H_0. Then $G/Z(G)$ is isomorphic to H.*

Proof. By Remark 1.2, we will consider the case where $H = \mathsf{Aut}(H_0)$ with H_0 one of the sporadic groups J_2, J_3, McL, HS, He, HN, Fi_{22}, Fi'_{24}, $O'N$ and Suz. According to Proposition 3.5, G' is isomorphic to H_0. Let $A := C_G(G')$. Since $G' \cap A = 1$ and $G'A \cong G' \times A$, it follows that $G' \cong G'A/A \trianglelefteq G/A \leqslant \mathsf{Aut}(G')$. Thus G/A is isomorphic to H_0 or $\mathsf{Aut}(H_0) = H_0:2$. In the case where G/A is isomorphic to H_0,

we must have $G \cong A \times H_0$. This is impossible as G possesses a character of degree as in the fourth column of Table 5, however, H_0 has no such degree. Therefore, G/A is isomorphic to $\mathsf{Aut}(H_0)$. Note also that $G' \cap A = 1$. Then $[G, A] = 1$, and hence $A = Z(G)$, as claimed. □

References

[1] S. H. Alavi, A. Daneshkah, H. P. Tong-Viet, and T. P. Wakefield. Huppert's conjecture for Fi_{23}. *Rend. Semin. Mat. Univ. Padova*, 126:201–211, 2011. 1, 2

[2] S. H. Alavi, A. Daneshkhah, and A. Jafari. On groups with the same character degrees as almost simple groups with socle the Mathieu groups. *ArXiv e-prints*, Nov. 2015. 2, 4

[3] S. H. Alavi, A. Daneshkhah, H. P. Tong-Viet, and T. P. Wakefield. On Huppert's conjecture for the Conway and Fischer families of sporadic simple groups. *J. Aust. Math. Soc.*, 94(3):289–303, 2013. 1, 2

[4] M. Bianchi, D. Chillag, M. L. Lewis, and E. Pacifici. Character degree graphs that are complete graphs. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 135(3):671–676 (electronic), 2007. 3

[5] J. H. Conway, R. T. Curtis, S. P. Norton, R. A. Parker, and R. A. Wilson. *Atlas of finite groups*. Oxford University Press, Eynsham, 1985. Maximal subgroups and ordinary characters for simple groups, With computational assistance from J. G. Thackray. 3, 4, 7, 8, 9, 10

[6] B. Huppert. *Character theory of finite groups*, volume 25 of *de Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics*. Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 1998. 2

[7] B. Huppert. Some simple groups which are determined by the set of their character degrees. I. *Illinois J. Math.*, 44(4):828–842, 2000. 1, 2, 3

[8] B. Huppert. Some simple groups which are determined by the set of their character degrees i. *Preprint*, 2000. 2

[9] I. M. Isaacs. *Character theory of finite groups*. AMS Chelsea Publishing, Providence, RI, 2006. Corrected reprint of the 1976 original [Academic Press, New York; MR0460423]. 2, 7, 8, 9

[10] A. Moret. An answer to a question of isaacs on character degree graphs. *Advances in Mathematics*, 201(1):90 – 101, 2006. 3

[11] A. The GAP Group, 'GAP-Groups and v. . Programming. 2008. 2

[12] H. P. Tong-Viet and T. P. Wakefield. On Huppert's conjecture for the Monster and Baby Monster. *Monatsh. Math.*, 167(3-4):589–600, 2012. 1, 2, 3

S.H. Alavi, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Bu-Ali Sina University, Hamedan, Iran

E-mail address: alavi.s.hassan@gmail.com (Gmail is preferred)

E-mail address: alavi.s.hassan@basu.ac.ir

A. Daneshkhah, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Bu-Ali Sina University, Hamedan, Iran

E-mail address: daneshkhah.ashraf@gmail.com (Gmail is preferred)

E-mail address: adanesh@basu.ac.ir

A. Jafari, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Bu-Ali Sina University, Hamedan, Iran

E-mail address: a.jaefary@gmail.com